01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06 07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
08 09	DERRICK M. SIMON, Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C12-0979-JLR-MAT
10	v.) ORDER NOTING MOTION AND) REGARDING SERVICE)
11	ROBIN MURPHY, et al.,	
12	Defendants.)
13		,
14	Plaintiff filed a motion seeking an extension of time for discovery (Dkt. 54). However, he did not include a noting date within the caption, as required by Local Civil Rule (LCR) 7(b)(1). Plaintiff is reminded that all motions need to include a noting date that complies with LCR 7(d). In this instance, since plaintiff is incarcerated and proceeding pro se, his motion for	
15		
16		
17		
18	a discovery extension is noted on the Court's motions calendar for three Fridays after filing, or	
19	October 4, 2013 . <i>See</i> LCR 7(d)(7).	
20	In addition, plaintiff's motion did not include a proper Certificate of Service. Plaintiff	
21	is reminded that he must mail a copy of everything he files with the Court to counsel for defendants, and must certify that to the Court by including a Certificate of Service with his	
22		
	ORDER NOTING MOTION AND REGARDING SERVICE	

filings. See LCR 5(f) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b) and (d). Future pleadings may be returned to plaintiff unfiled if he does not include a Certificate of Service stating that he mailed a copy to defendants' attorney. DATED this 20th day of September, 2013. Chief United States Magistrate Judge ORDER NOTING MOTION AND REGARDING SERVICE

PAGE -2